arXiv:1109.1345v1 [math.DG] 7 Sep 2011

A differentiable sphere theorem for compact Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean space and complex projective space

Haizhong Li*† Xianfeng Wang*‡

Abstract

We obtain a new differentiable sphere theorem for compact Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean space and complex projective space.

Key words: *differentiable sphere theorem, Lagrangian submanifold, Ricci flow, mean curvature, second fundamental form.*

Subject class: 53C20, 53C40.

1 Introduction

The sphere theorem for Riemannian manifolds was firstly studied by Rauch([30]) in 1951, since then there have been many excellent works on sphere theorems for Riemannian manifolds and submanifolds(see [1]-[3],[6]-[14],[23]-[25],[28],[33],[34]).

B. Andrews and C. Baker proved in [2] by the method of mean curvature flow that, for a compact n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$, we denote by S the norm square of the second fundamental form and H the mean curvature, if

$$S \leq an^2H^2, \text{ where } a \leq \frac{4}{3n}(2 \leq n \leq 4); a \leq \frac{1}{n-1}(n \geq 4), \tag{1.1}$$

then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$.

S. Brendle and R. Schoen studied ([9]-[14]) the convergence theory for Ricci flow and its application to the differentiable sphere theorem, they proved the following results, which is very important in the proof of our main theorem for $n \geq 4$.

---

*Supported by Tsinghua University–K.U.Leuven Bilateral scientific cooperation Fund.

†Supported by NSFC grant No. 10971110

‡Supported by NSFC grant No. 11171175

Theorem 1.1. *(see Theorem 2 in [10]) Let* (M, g_0) *be a compact, locally irreducible Riemannian manifold of dimension* $n(\geq 4)$*. Assume that* $M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ *has nonnegative isotropic curvature, i.e.,*

$$R_{1313} + \lambda^2 R_{1414} + \mu^2 R_{2323} + \lambda^2\mu^2 R_{2424} - 2\lambda\mu R_{1234} \geq 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ *and all* $\lambda, \mu \in [-1, 1]$*. Then one of the following statements holds:*

(i) *M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*

(ii) $n = 2m$ *and the universal cover of M is a Kähler manifold biholomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}P^m$*.*

(iii) *The universal cover of M is isometric to a compact symmetric space.*

In [37], by applying Theorem 1.1, H. W. Xu and J. R. Gu proved that (see also [36])

Theorem 1.2. *(see Theorem 1.4 in [37]) Let M be an* $n(\geq 4)$*-dimensional oriented complete submanifold in an N-dimensional simply connected space form* $F^N(c)$ *with* $c \geq 0$*. Assume that*

$$S \leq \frac{n^2H^2}{n-1} + 2c,$$

where $c + H^2 > 0$*. We have*
(i) *If* $c = 0$*, then M is either diffeomorphic to* S^n, $\mathbb{R}^n$*, or locally isometric to* $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}$*.*
(ii) *If M is compact, then M is diffeomorphic to* S^n *.*

Let $\bar{M}^n(4c)$ be a complex space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$, when $c = 0$, $\bar{M}^n(4c) = \mathbb{C}^n$; when $c > 0$, $\bar{M}^n(4c) = \mathbb{CP}^n$; when $c < 0$, $\bar{M}^n(4c) = \mathbb{CH}^n$.

For any Lagrangian submanifold M in a complex space form $\bar{M}^n(4c)$, the norm square of the second fundamental form and the squared mean curvature satisfy the following inequality:

$$S \geq \frac{3n^2H^2}{n+2}, \tag{1.2}$$

and the equality holds if and only if M are totally geodesic submanifolds or Whitney spheres in complex forms (see [16], [5] and [31] for $c = 0$; see [20] and [21] for $c \neq 0$).

The explicit expressions of the Whitney spheres in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ are the following examples.

Example 1. *Whitney sphere* in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [5], [16], [31], [26]). It is defined as the Lagrangian immersion of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, in $\mathbb{C}^n$, given by

$$\phi : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n : \phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \frac{1 + ix_{n+1}}{1 + x_{n+1}^2}(x_1, \ldots, x_n). \tag{1.3}$$

Example 2. *Whitney spheres* in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ (see [21], [18], [26]). They are a one-parameter family of Lagrangian spheres in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, given by

$$\bar{\phi}_\theta : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{CP}^n(4) :$$

$$\bar{\phi}_\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \pi \circ \Big(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{c_\theta + is_\theta x_{n+1}}; \frac{s_\theta c_\theta(1 + x_{n+1}^2) + ix_{n+1}}{c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 x_{n+1}^2}\Big), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\theta \geq 0,\ c_\theta = \cosh\theta,\ s_\theta = \sinh\theta,\ \pi : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}(1) \to \mathbb{CP}^n(4)$ is the Hopf fibration.

It is well-known that(see [35]), there are no self-shrinking Lagrangian spheres in $\mathbb{C}^n$, if $n > 1$. Our aim in this paper is to prove a differentiable sphere theorem with weakly pinched conditions for compact Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, and the theorem is also valid for Whitney spheres. In fact, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional compact Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form $\bar{M}^n(4c)(c \geq 0)$. We denote by S the norm square of the second fundamental form and H the mean curvature. Assume that*

$$S \leq \frac{3n^2H^2}{n + \frac{3}{2}} + 2c, \tag{1.5}$$

then M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$.

Remark 1.4. When $c = 0$, I. Castro (see [17]) constructed a one-parameter family of Lagrangian spheres including the Whitney spheres, defined by

$$\Phi_q(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \frac{2^{1/q}e^{i\beta_q(x_{n+1})}}{[(1 + x_{n+1})^q + (1 - x_{n+1})^q]^{1/q}}(x_1, \dots, x_n),\ q > 1,$$

with

$$\beta_q(x_{n+1}) = \frac{2}{q}\arctan\Big(\frac{(1 + x_{n+1})^{q/2} - (1 - x_{n+1})^{q/2}}{(1 + x_{n+1})^{q/2} + (1 - x_{n+1})^{q/2}}\Big),$$

each Φ_q satisfies that $S = \frac{(3n+q^2+2q-2)n^2H^2}{(n+q)^2}$. We note that if

$$1 < q \leq 2 + \frac{3 + \sqrt{3(2n^2 + n - 3)}}{2n - 3},$$

then Φ_q satisfies our condition (1.5), and we also note that Φ_2 is Whitney sphere.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, M will always denote an n-dimensional Lagrangian submanifold of $\bar{M}^n(4c)$ which is an n-dimensional complex space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$. We denote the Levi-Civita connections on M, $\bar{M}^n(4c)$ and the normal bundle by ∇, D and $\nabla^{\perp}_X$, respectively. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given by (see [19],[22],[26],[27])

$$D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y),\ D_X\xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla^{\perp}_X \xi, \tag{2.1}$$

where X and Y are tangent vector fields and ξ is a normal vector field on M.

The Lagrangian condition implies that

$$\nabla^{\perp}_X JY = J\nabla_X Y,\ A_{JX}Y = -Jh(X,Y) = A_{JY}X, \tag{2.2}$$

where h is the second fundamental form and A denotes the shape operator.

The above formulas immediately imply that $\langle h(X,Y), JZ\rangle$ is totally symmetric, i.e.,

$$\langle h(X,Y), JZ\rangle \ = \ \langle h(X,Z), JY\rangle, \tag{2.3}$$

for tangent vector fields X, Y and Z.

For a Lagrangian submanifold M in $\bar{M}^n(4c)$, an orthonormal frame field

$$e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{1^*}, \ldots, e_{n^*}$$

is called an adapted Lagrangian frame field if $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are orthonormal tangent vector fileds and $e_{1^*}, \ldots, e_{n^*}$ are normal fields given by

$$e_{1^*} = Je_1, \ldots, e_{n^*} = Je_n. \tag{2.4}$$

Their dual frame fields are $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$, the Levi-Civita connection forms and normal connection forms are θ_{ij} and $\theta_{i^*j^*}$, respectively.

Writing $h(e_i, e_j) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} h^{k^*}_{ij} e_{k^*}$, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$h^{k^*}_{ij} = h^{i^*}_{kj} = h^{j^*}_{ik}, 1 \le i, j, k \le n. \tag{2.5}$$

The norm square of the second fundamental form is $S = \sum\limits_{i,j,k} (h^{k^*}_{ij})^2$. The mean curvature vector $\vec{H}$ is defined by $\vec{H} = \frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{i,k} h^{k^*}_{ii} e_{k^*}$ and the mean curvature $H = |\vec{H}|$.

If we denote the components of curvature tensor of ∇ by R_{ijkl}, then the equations of Gauss are given by (see [19],[22],[26],[27])

$$R_{ijkl} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \sum_{r=1}^{n}(h^{r^*}_{ik}h^{r^*}_{jl} - h^{r^*}_{il}h^{r^*}_{jk}). \tag{2.6}$$

3 Some Lemmas and the proof of Theorem 1.3

We need the following lemmas to finish the proof of Theorem 1.3.

In view of a result of Aubin, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *(see Aubin, [4]) Let M be a compact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If M has nonnegative Ricci curvature everywhere and has positive Ricci curvature at some point, then M admits a metric with positive Ricci curvature everywhere.*

The following convergence result for Ricci flow in 3-dimension due to Hamilton is very important in our proof of Theorem 1.3 for $n = 3$.

Lemma 3.2. *(see Hamilton, [24]) Let M be a compact 3-manifold which admits a Riemannian metric with strictly positive Ricci curvature. Then M also admits a metric of constant positive curvature.*

A Riemannian manifold M is said to have *nonnegative (positive, respectively) isotropic curvature*, if

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} \geq 0 (> 0, \text{ respectively})$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. This notation was firstly introduced by Micallef and Moore, where they proved the following sphere theorem.

Lemma 3.3. *(see Micallef and Moore, [28]) Let M be a compact simply connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which has positive isotropic curvature, where $n \geq 4$. Then M is homeomorphic to a sphere.*

In [29], Micallef and Wang proved that

Lemma 3.4. *(see Micallef and Wang, [29]) Let M be a closed even-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If M has positive isotropic curvature, then $b_2(M) = 0$.*

Later, H. Seshadri proved that the study of compact manifolds with nonnegative isotropic curvature reduces to the study of manifolds with positive isotropic curvature. In view of H. Seshadri's result, we have

Lemma 3.5. *(see H. Seshadri, [32])Let M be a compact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If M has nonnegative isotropic curvature everywhere and has positive isotropic curvature at some point, then M admits a metric with positive isotropic curvature everywhere.*

In order to use the convergence results for the Ricci flow by Brendle and Schoen (see Theorem 1.1) to prove Theorem 1.3, we will first prove the following key lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be an n-dimensional ($n \geq 4$) Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form $\bar{M}^n(4c)$ with $c \geq 0$. Suppose that*

$$S \leq \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c,$$

then

$$R_{1313} + \lambda^2 R_{1414} + \mu^2 R_{2323} + \lambda^2\mu^2 R_{2424} - 2\lambda\mu R_{1234} \geq 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and all $\lambda, \mu \in [-1, 1]$, i.e. $M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has nonegative isotropic curvature.

Proof. For any orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, we extend it to be an orthonormal tangent vector field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and we get an adapted Lagrangian frame field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{1^*} = Je_1, \ldots, e_{n^*} = Je_n\}$.

We denote that

$$H_r = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{jj}^{r^*}, \ \forall\ 1 \le r \le n. \tag{3.1}$$

By Gauss equation (2.6) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1212} =& c + \sum_{r=1}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*}h_{22}^{r^*} - (h_{12}^{r^*})^2)\\
=& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\Big[[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(h_{ii}^{r^*})^2 + \sum_{i\neq j} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*}] - [\sum_{i=1}^{n}(h_{ii}^{r^*})^2 + \sum_{i\neq j}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]\Big]\\
&+ \sum_{r=1}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*}h_{22}^{r^*} - (h_{12}^{r^*})^2)\\
=& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S) - \sum_{r=1}^{n}\Big[\sum_{1\le i<j\le n}(h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*} - (h_{ij}^{r^*})^2) - h_{11}^{r^*}h_{22}^{r^*} + (h_{12}^{r^*})^2\Big]\\
=& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S)\\
&- \sum_{r=1}^{n}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*} + h_{22}^{r^*})h_{jj}^{r^*} + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*} - \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{1j}^{r^*})^2 - \sum_{2\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2\Big]\\
=& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S) - \Big[\sum_{r=1}^{n}(\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*} + h_{22}^{r^*})h_{jj}^{r^*} + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*})\\
&- [\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{1j}^{1^*})^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{1j}^{j^*})^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{1j}^{2^*})^2 + 2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{1j}^{i^*})^2]\\
&- [\sum_{2\le i,j\le n, i\neq j}(h_{jj}^{i^*})^2 + \sum_{j\ge 3}(h_{2j}^{1^*})^2 + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2 + 3\sum_{2\le i<j<r\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1212} =& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S) - \Big[\sum_{r=1}^{n}(\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*} + h_{22}^{r^*})h_{jj}^{r^*} + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*})\\
&- [\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{j^*})^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{jj}^{1^*})^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{12}^{j^*})^2 + 2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2]\\
&- [\sum_{2\le i,j\le n, i\neq j}(h_{jj}^{i^*})^2 + \sum_{j\ge 3}(h_{12}^{j^*})^2 + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2 + 3\sum_{2\le i<j<r\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]\Big]\\
=& c + \frac{1}{2}(n^2H^2 - S) + II_1 + II_2 + 2\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{12}^{j^*})^2 + 3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2 + 3\sum_{2\le i<j<r\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= -\sum_{r=1}^{2}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})h_{jj}^{r^*} + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*} - \sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\Big],\\ II_2 &= -\sum_{r=3}^{n}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})h_{jj}^{r^*} + \sum_{3\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^{r^*}h_{jj}^{r^*} - \sum_{j\neq r}(h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

After a straightforward computation, we can rewrite II_1 and II_2 as following (see also [15]) by use of (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 =& \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\sum_{r=1}^{2}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}((h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 + 3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\\ &-(n-2)(h_{11}^{r^*}+\cdots+h_{nn}^{r^*})^2\Big]\\ =& \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\sum_{r=1}^{2}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}((h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 + 3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 - (n-2)n^2H_r^2\Big]\\ =& \sum_{r=1}^{2}\Big\{\frac{3}{2(n+1)(2n+3)}n^2H_r^2 - \frac{2n-3}{2(2n+3)}n^2H_r^2\\ &+\frac{1}{2(n+1)}\big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}((h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 + 3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\big]\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 =& \sum_{r=3}^{n}\Big\{-\frac{2n-3}{2(2n+3)}(h_{11}^{r^*}+\cdots+h_{nn}^{r^*})^2 + \frac{1}{2(2n+3)}\big[\sum_{j\ge 3, j\neq r}(2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\\ &+(2n+3)(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{22}^{r^*})^2 + 6\sum_{3\le i<j\le n, i\neq r, j\neq r}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\\ &+2\sum_{j\ge 3, j\neq r}(h_{rr}^{r^*}-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 + 3(h_{rr}^{r^*}-2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*}))^2\big]\Big\}\\ =& \sum_{r=3}^{n}\Big\{-\frac{2n-3}{2(2n+3)}n^2H_r^2 + \frac{1}{2(2n+3)}\big[\sum_{j\ge 3, j\neq r}(2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\\ &+(2n+3)(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{22}^{r^*})^2 + 6\sum_{3\le i<j\le n, i\neq r, j\neq r}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\\ &+2\sum_{j\ge 3, j\neq r}(h_{rr}^{r^*}-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2 + 3(h_{rr}^{r^*}-2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*}))^2\big]\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}R_{1212} =&\frac{1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S)+\frac{3}{2(n+1)(2n+3)}(n^2H_1^2+n^2H_2^2)\\&+2\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{12}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2+3\sum_{2\le i<j<r\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2\\&+\frac{1}{2(n+1)}\sum_{r=1}^{2}\Big[\sum_{j=3}^{n}((h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2+3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2\Big]\\&+\frac{1}{2(2n+3)}\sum_{r=3}^{n}\Big[\sum_{j\ge 3,j\ne r}(2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*})-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2+(2n+3)(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{22}^{r^*})^2\\&+6\sum_{3\le i<j\le n,i\ne r,j\ne r}(h_{ii}^{r^*}-h_{jj}^{r^*})^2+2\sum_{j\ge 3,j\ne r}(h_{rr}^{r^*}-3h_{jj}^{r^*})^2+3(h_{rr}^{r^*}-2(h_{11}^{r^*}+h_{22}^{r^*}))^2\Big]\\\ge&\frac{1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S)+2\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{12}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2\\&+3\sum_{2\le i<j<r\le n}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=3}^{n}(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{22}^{r^*})^2\\\ge&\frac{1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S),\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

and the equality in the last inequality holds only if

$$\begin{cases}h_{11}^{1^*}=-h_{22}^{1^*}=a_1,\ h_{11}^{2^*}=-h_{22}^{2^*}=a_2,\\h_{11}^{r^*}=h_{22}^{r^*}=3b_r,\ h_{jj}^{r^*}=4b_r,\ h_{rr}^{r^*}=12b_r,\ j,r\ge 3,\ j\ne r,\end{cases}\tag{3.8}$$

for some numbers a_1, a_2, b_r, and the other components of the second fundamental form are 0.

By using the first Bianchi identity, Gauss equation (2.6) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-R_{1234}\\=&R_{1342}+R_{1423}\\=&\sum_{r=1}^{n}(h_{14}^{r^*}h_{23}^{r^*}-h_{12}^{r^*}h_{34}^{r^*}+h_{12}^{r^*}h_{34}^{r^*}-h_{13}^{r^*}h_{24}^{r^*})\\=&\sum_{r\ge 5}(h_{14}^{r^*}h_{23}^{r^*}-h_{13}^{r^*}h_{24}^{r^*})\\&+h_{14}^{1^*}h_{23}^{1^*}-h_{12}^{1^*}h_{34}^{1^*}+h_{12}^{1^*}h_{34}^{1^*}-h_{13}^{1^*}h_{24}^{1^*}+h_{14}^{2^*}h_{23}^{2^*}-h_{12}^{2^*}h_{34}^{2^*}+h_{12}^{2^*}h_{34}^{2^*}-h_{13}^{2^*}h_{24}^{2^*}\\&+h_{14}^{3^*}h_{23}^{3^*}-h_{12}^{3^*}h_{34}^{3^*}+h_{12}^{3^*}h_{34}^{3^*}-h_{13}^{3^*}h_{24}^{3^*}+h_{14}^{4^*}h_{23}^{4^*}-h_{12}^{4^*}h_{34}^{4^*}+h_{12}^{4^*}h_{34}^{4^*}-h_{13}^{4^*}h_{24}^{4^*}\\=&\sum_{r\ge 5}(h_{14}^{r^*}h_{23}^{r^*}-h_{13}^{r^*}h_{24}^{r^*})\\&+(h_{33}^{2^*}-h_{11}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{11}^{4^*}-h_{33}^{4^*})h_{12}^{3^*}+(h_{11}^{2^*}-h_{44}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{44}^{3^*}-h_{11}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*}\\&+(h_{22}^{1^*}-h_{33}^{1})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{33}^{4}-h_{22}^{4^*})h_{23}^{1^*}+(h_{44}^{1^*}-h_{22}^{1^*})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{22}^{3^*}-h_{44}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*},\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

which together with (3.7) immediately give that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R_{1313}+\lambda^2R_{1414}+\mu^2R_{2323}+\lambda^2\mu^2R_{2424}-2\lambda\mu R_{1234}\\
\geq&\frac{1+\lambda^2+\mu^2+\lambda^2\mu^2}{2}\Big(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S\Big)\\
&+2\sum_{j\neq1,3}(h_{13}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{i,j\neq1,3,i<j}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2+3\sum_{i<j<r,i\neq1}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\neq1,3}(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{33}^{r^*})^2\\
&+\lambda^2(2\sum_{j\neq1,4}(h_{14}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{i,j\neq1,4,i<j}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2+3\sum_{i<j<r,i\neq1}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\neq1,4}(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{44}^{r^*})^2)\\
&+\mu^2(2\sum_{j\neq2,3}(h_{23}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{i,j\neq2,3,i<j}(h_{ij}^{2^*})^2+3\sum_{i<j<r,i\neq2,j\neq2}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\neq2,3}(h_{22}^{r^*}-h_{33}^{r^*})^2)\\
&+\lambda^2\mu^2(2\sum_{j\neq2,4}(h_{24}^{j^*})^2+3\sum_{i,j\neq2,4,i<j}(h_{ij}^{2^*})^2+3\sum_{i<j<r,i\neq2,j\neq2}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\neq2,4}(h_{22}^{r^*}-h_{44}^{r^*})^2)\\
&+2\lambda\mu\Big[\sum_{r\geq5}(h_{14}^{r^*}h_{23}^{r^*}-h_{13}^{r^*}h_{24}^{r^*})\\
&+(h_{33}^{2^*}-h_{11}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{11}^{4^*}-h_{33}^{4^*})h_{12}^{3^*}+(h_{11}^{2^*}-h_{44}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{44}^{3^*}-h_{11}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*}\\
&+(h_{22}^{1^*}-h_{33}^{1})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{33}^{4}-h_{22}^{4^*})h_{23}^{1^*}+(h_{44}^{1^*}-h_{22}^{1^*})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{22}^{3^*}-h_{44}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*}\Big]\\
=&\frac{1+\lambda^2+\mu^2+\lambda^2\mu^2}{2}\Big(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S\Big)\\
&+2\sum_{j\neq1,3}(h_{13}^{j^*})^2+2\lambda^2\sum_{j\neq1,4}(h_{14}^{j^*})^2+2\mu^2\sum_{j\neq2,3}(h_{23}^{j^*})^2+2\lambda^2\mu^2\sum_{j\neq2,4}(h_{24}^{j^*})^2\\
&+2\lambda\mu\sum_{r\geq5}(h_{14}^{r^*}h_{23}^{r^*}-h_{13}^{r^*}h_{24}^{r^*})\\
&+3\sum_{i,j\neq1,3,i<j}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2+3\sum_{i\neq1,i<j<r}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\mu^2\sum_{r\neq2,4}(h_{22}^{r^*}-h_{44}^{r^*})^2\\
&+2\lambda\mu\Big[(h_{44}^{1^*}-h_{22}^{1^*})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{22}^{3^*}-h_{44}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*}\Big]\\
&+\lambda^2[3\sum_{i,j\neq1,4,i<j}(h_{ij}^{1^*})^2+3\sum_{i\neq1,i<j<r}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\sum_{r\neq2,3}(h_{22}^{r^*}-h_{33}^{r^*})^2\\
&+2\lambda\mu\Big[(h_{22}^{1^*}-h_{33}^{1})h_{23}^{4^*}+(h_{33}^{4}-h_{22}^{4^*})h_{23}^{1^*}\Big]\\
&+\mu^2[3\sum_{i,j\neq2,3,i<j}(h_{ij}^{2^*})^2+3\sum_{i\neq2,j\neq2,i<j<r}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\sum_{r\neq1,4}(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{44}^{r^*})^2\\
&+2\lambda\mu\Big[(h_{11}^{2^*}-h_{44}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{44}^{3^*}-h_{11}^{3^*})h_{12}^{4^*}\Big]\\
&+\lambda^2\mu^2[3\sum_{i,j\neq2,4,i<j}(h_{ij}^{2^*})^2+3\sum_{i\neq2,j\neq2,i<j<r}(h_{ij}^{r^*})^2]+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\neq1,3}(h_{11}^{r^*}-h_{33}^{r^*})^2\\
&+2\lambda\mu\Big[(h_{33}^{2^*}-h_{11}^{2^*})h_{34}^{1^*}+(h_{11}^{4^*}-h_{33}^{4^*})h_{12}^{3^*}\Big]\\
\geq&\frac{1+\lambda^2+\mu^2+\lambda^2\mu^2}{2}\Big(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}+2c-S\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.10}
$$

Hence, by the assumption that $S \leq \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c$, we get

$$R_{1313} + \lambda^2 R_{1414} + \mu^2 R_{2323} + \lambda^2\mu^2 R_{2424} - 2\lambda\mu R_{1234} \geq 0, \tag{3.11}$$

i.e. $M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3: We denote by $\tilde{M}$ the universal cover of M, from Lemma 3.6 we know that $M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has nonegative isotropic curvature, hence $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ also has nonegative isotropic curvature. We discuss in two cases: (i) $c > 0$ and (ii) $c = 0$.

(i) $c > 0$.

If $n = 3$, for any unit tangent vector $u \in T_pM$ at the point $p \in M$, we can choose an orthonormal three-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $e_1 = u$. From (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(u) &= R_{1212} + R_{1313} \\ &\geq \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c - S = 6H^2 + 2c - S, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and the equality holds only if $R_{1212} = R_{1313} = \frac{1}{2}(6H^2 + 2c - S)$, then from (3.8) we get the equality holds only if $h^{k^*}_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$, which means p is a totally geodesic point and hence $S = 6H^2$. Hence we conclude that M has positive Ricci curvature. This together with Hamilton's theorem (see Lemma 3.2) imply that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3$.

If $n \geq 4$, for any unit vector u at a point $p \in M$, take $e_1 = u$, then we have $Ric(u) = \sum\limits_{k=2}^{n} R_{1k1k}$, from (3.7) we know that $Ric(u) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c - S)$, and the equality holds only if $R_{1212} = \cdots = R_{1n1n} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c - S)$, then from (3.8) we get the equality holds only if $h^{k^*}_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, which means p is a totally geodesic point and hence $S = \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}$. Hence we conclude that M has positive Ricci curvature. Since M is compact and has positive Ricci curvature, by a theorem of Myers, $\tilde{M}$ is also compact.

For any orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ at a point $p \in T_pM$, let $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in (3.10), we immediately get

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} \geq 2(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c - S), \tag{3.13}$$

and from (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10) we know that the equality in (3.13) holds only if $h^{k^*}_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, which means p is a totally geodesic point and hence $S = \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}$. Hence we conclude that M has positive isotropic curvature, which implies that $\tilde{M}$ also has positive isotropic curvature.

We have shown that $\tilde{M}$ is compact and has positive isotropic curvature, by using a theorem due to Micallef and Moore (see Lemma 3.3), we get $\tilde{M}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$, hence $\tilde{M}$ is locally irreducible and the locally symmetric metric of $\tilde{M}$ would have to be of constant positive sectional curvature (see also Remark (ii) of [32] and Lemma 2.4 of [37]). As $\tilde{M}$ has positive isotropic curvature, from a theorem of Micallef and Wang (see Lemma 3.4), $\tilde{M}$ can not be a Kähler manifold. Since $\tilde{M}\times\mathbb{R}^2$ has nonegative isotropic curvature, by combining Theorem 1.1, we conclude that $\tilde{M}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$, which implies that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$.

(ii) $c = 0$.

If $n = 3$, for any unit tangent vector $u \in T_pM$ at the point $p \in M$, we can choose an orthonormal three-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $e_1 = u$. From (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(u) &= R_{1212} + R_{1313} \\ &\geq \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} - S = 6H^2 - S, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and the equality holds only if $R_{1212} = R_{1313} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} + 2c - S)$, then from (3.8) we get the equality holds only if $h_{ij}^{k^*} = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$, which means p is a totally geodesic point and hence $S = 6H^2$. Hence we get $Ric(u) \geq 0$ and $Ric(u) = 0$ can only happen at the totally geodesic points. Since M is a compact submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$, M can not be minimal and hence can not be totally geodesic, which implies that there exists a point $p \in M$ such that $Ric(u) > 0$ for any unit tangent vector $u \in T_pM$, i.e. we get the Ricci curvature of M is quasi-positive, then by Aubin's theorem (see Lemma 3.1) we have M admits a metric with positive Ricci curvature. This together with Hamilton's theorem (see Lemma 3.2) imply that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3$.

If $n \geq 4$, after a same argument with the case for $n = 3$, we get the Ricci curvature of M is quasi-positive, then by Aubin's theorem (see Lemma 3.1) we have M admits a metric with positive Ricci curvature. Since M is compact and has positive Ricci curvature, by a theorem of Myers, $\tilde{M}$ is also compact.

For any orthonormal four-frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ at a point $p \in T_pM$, let $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in (3.10), we immediately get

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} - 2R_{1234} \geq 2(\frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3} - S), \tag{3.15}$$

and from (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10) we know that the equality in (3.15) holds only if $h_{ij}^{k^*} = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, which means p is a totally geodesic point and hence $S = \frac{6n^2H^2}{2n+3}$. We conclude that M has nonnegative isotropic curvature and has positive isotropic curvature for some point in M, which together with Lemma 3.5 imply that M admits a metric with positive isotropic curvature. Therefore, $\tilde{M}$ also admits a metric with positive isotropic curvature.

We have shown that $\tilde{M}$ is compact and has admits a metric with positive isotropic curvature, by using a theorem due to Micallef and Moore (see Lemma 3.3), we get $\tilde{M}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$, hence $\tilde{M}$ is locally irreducible and the locally symmetric

metric of $\tilde{M}$ would have to be of constant positive sectional curvature (see also Remark (ii) of [32] and Lemma 2.4 of [37]). As $\tilde{M}$ admits a metric with positive isotropic curvature, from a theorem of Micallef and Wang (see Lemma 3.4), $\tilde{M}$ can not be a Kähler manifold. Since $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has nonegative isotropic curvature, by combining Theorem 1.1, we conclude that $\tilde{M}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$, which implies that M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4 A Differentiable Sphere Theorem for compact Lagrangian submanifolds in a Kähler manifold

In this section, we extend Theorem 1.3 to compact Lagrangian submanifolds in a Kähler manifold. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional compact Lagrangian submanifold in a Kähler manifold $\bar{M}^n$. We denote by S the norm square of the second fundamental form and H the mean curvature. Let $\bar{K}(u \wedge v)$ denote the sectional curvature of the 2-dimensional subspace of $T_p\bar{M}^n$ spanned by u and v. Assume that*

$$S \leq \frac{3n^2H^2}{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{2}{3}(4\delta - \Delta), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Delta = \max_{u,v \in T_p\bar{M}^n, \langle u, Jv\rangle = 0} \bar{K}(u \wedge v)$, $\delta = \min_{u,v \in T_p\bar{M}^n, \langle u, Jv\rangle = 0} \bar{K}(u \wedge v)$, and if $4\delta - \Delta \equiv 0$ on $\bar{M}^n$, we assume moreover that M is not totally geodesic. Then we have M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, if M is simply connected, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$.

Proof. Let M be a Lagrangian submanfold in a Kähler manifold $\bar{M}^n$, if we denote the Levi-Civita connections on M, $\bar{M}^n$ and the normal bundle by ∇, D and $\nabla^{\perp}_X$, respectively, then (2.1)-(2.5) still hold.

If we denote the components of curvature tensor of ∇ and D by R_{ijkl} and $\bar{R}_{ijkl}$, respectively, then the equations of Gauss are given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{ijkl} + \sum_{r=1}^{n}(h_{ik}^{r^*}h_{jl}^{r^*} - h_{il}^{r^*}h_{jk}^{r^*}). \tag{4.2}$$

By a similar method of proving Berger's inequality (see [7], or see [3], Lemma 2.50), for orthonormal frames $\{e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l\}$ which are orthogonal to $\{Je_i, Je_j, Je_k, Je_k\}$, we have

$$|\bar{R}_{ijkl}| \leq \frac{2}{3}(\Delta - \delta). \tag{4.3}$$

By using (4.2) and (4.3) together instead of (2.6), Theorem 4.1 can be proved after a argument analogous to that in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we omit the details here. □

Remark 4.2. We note that Theorem 4.1 is a generalization of Theorem 1.3. In fact, in Theorem 4.1, if we take $\bar{M}^n$ to be a complex space form $\bar{M}^n(4c)$ with $c \geq 0$, then we immediately get Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their thanks to Professor Ben Andrews for his helpful discussions and valuable suggestions.

References

[1] B. Andrews, *Positively curved surfaces in the three-sphere.* Proc. ICM 2002, Vol. 2, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002, 221-230.

[2] B. Andrews and C. Baker, *Mean curvature flow of pinched submanifolds to spheres.* J. Differential Geom. **85**(2010), 357-396.

[3] B. Andrews and C. Hopper, *The Ricci flow in Riemannian Geometry.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2011, Springer, 2010.

[4] T. Aubin, *Metriques Riemanniennes et courbure.* J. Differential Geom. **4**(1970), 385-424.

[5] V. Borrelli, B. Y. Chen and J. M. Morvan, *Une caractérization géométrique de la sphere de Whitney.* Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série I, Mathématique **321**(1995), 1485-1490.

[6] M. Berger, *Les variétés Riemanniennes 1/4-pincées.* Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 3^e série **14**(1960), no. 2, 161-170.

[7] M. Berger, *Riemannian geometry during the second half of the twentieth century.* University Lecture Series, Vol. 17, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[8] C. Böhm and B. Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operatures are space forms.* Ann. of Math. **167**(2008), no. 3, 1079-1097.

[9] S. Brendle and R. Schoen, *Manifolds with* $1/4$*-pinched curvature are space forms.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22**(2009), 287-307.

[10] S. Brendle and R. Schoen, *Classification of manifolds with weakly* $1/4$*-pinched curvatures.* Acta Math. **200**(2008), 1-13.

[11] S. Brendle, *A general convergence result for the Ricci flow in higher dimensions.* Duke Math. J. **145**(2008), 585-601.

[12] S. Brendle and R. Schoen, *Sphere theorems in geometry.* Surveys in Differential Geometry, Vol. 13, 2009, 49-84.

[13] S. Brendle, *Ricci Flow and the Sphere Theorem.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 111, Americam Mathematical Society, 2010.

[14] S. Brendle and R. Schoen, *Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature.* Proc. ICM, Hyderabad, India, 2010.

[15] J. Bolton, F. Dillen, J. Fastenakels and L. Vrancken, *A best possible inequality for curvature-like tensor fields.* Math. Inequl. Appl. **12**(2009), 663-681.

[16] I. Castro and F. Urbano, *Lagrangian surfaces in the complex Euclidean plane with conformal Maslov form.* Töhoku Math. J. **45**(1993), 565-582.

[17] I. Castro, *Lagrangian spheres in the complex Euclidean space satisfying a geometric equality.* Geom. Dedicata **70**(1998), 197-208.

[18] I. Castro, C. R. Montealegre and F. Urbano, *Closed conformal vector fields and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms.* Pacific J. Math. **199**(2001), 269-302.

[19] I. Castro, H. Li and F. Urbano, *Hamiltonian-minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms.* Pacific J. Math. **227**(2006), 43-63.

[20] B.-Y. Chen, *Jacobi's elliptic functions and Lagrangian immersions.* Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, Math. **126**(1996), 687-704.

[21] B. Y. Chen and L. Vrancken, *Lagrangian sumanifolds satisfying a basic equality.* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **120**(1996), 291-307.

[22] F. Dillen, H. Li, L. Vrancken and X. Wang, *Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective space with parallel second fundamental form.* To appear in Pacific J. Math.

[23] K. Grove and K. Shiohama, *A generalized sphere theorem.* Ann. of Math. **106**(1977), 201-211.

[24] R. Hamilton, *Three manifolds with positive Ricci curvature.* J. Differential Geom. **17**(1982), 255-306.

[25] W. Klingenberg, *Über Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit positiver Krümmung.* Comment. Math. Helv. **35**(1961), no. 1, 47-54.

[26] H. Li and L. Vrancken, *A basic inequality and new characterization of Whitney spheres in a complex space form.* Israel J. Math. **146** (2005), 223-242.

[27] H. Li and X. Wang, *Isotropic Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean space and complex hyperbolic space.* Results Math. **56** (2009), 387–403.

[28] M. Micallef and J. D. Moore, *Minimal two-spheres and the topology of manifolds with positive curvature on totally isotropic two-planes.* Ann. of Math. **127**(1988), 199-227.

[29] M. Micallef and M. Wang, *Metrics with nonnegative isotropic curvature.* Duke Math. J. **72**(1993), 649-672.

[30] H. E. Rauch, *A contribution to differential geometry in the large.* Ann. of Math. **54**(1951), 38-55.

[31] A. Ros and F. Urbano, *Lagrangian submanifolds of* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *with conformal Maslov form and the Whitney sphere.* J. Math. Soc. Japan **50**(1998), 203-226.

[32] H. Seshadri, *Manifolds with nonnegative isotropic curvature.* Commu. Anal. Geom. **17**(2009), 621-635.

[33] K. Shiohama, *Recent developments in sphere theorems.*Differential Geometry: Riemannian Geometry. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. 54, Part 3, Amer. Math. Soc. 1993, 551-576.

[34] K. Shiohama, *Sphere theorems.* Handbook of Differential Geometry. Vol. 1, F. Dillen and L. Verstraelen (eds.), Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2000.

[35] K. Smoczyk, *Mean curvature flow in higher codimension-Introduction and survey.* arXiv:1104.3222v2.

[36] H. W. Xu and J. R. Gu, *An optimal differentiable sphere theorem for complete manifolds.* Math. Res. Lett. **17**(2010), 1111-1124.

[37] H. W. Xu and J. R. Gu, *The sphere theorems for manifolds with positive scalar curvature.* arXiv:1102.2424v1.

Haizhong Li: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail: hli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Xianfeng Wang: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail: wangxianfeng@nankai.edu.cn